

Drinking water assessment of 4 locations from Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh

SHIKHA BISHT^{1*}, B. A. PATRA² and MONIKA MALIK³

¹Galgotias College of Engineering and Technology, 1, Knowledge Park-2, Greater Noida - 201306, India.

²Thermo Fisher Scientific, Thermo Electron LLS India Pvt. Ltd., 102, 104, Delphi "C" wing, Hiranandani Business Park, Powai, Mumbai - 400 076, India.

³Galgotias College of Engineering and Technology, 1, Knowledge Park-2, Greater Noida - 201 306, India.

DOI : <http://dx.doi.org/10.12944/CWE.8.1.11>

(Received: December 20, 2012; Accepted: January 19, 2013)

ABSTRACT

In this study drinking water samples from 4 different locations in Ghaziabad were collected by random grab sampling. These were analyzed for physiochemical and elemental parameters. The parameters tested were pH, Nitrate, Fluoride, Chloride, Sulphate, Total Dissolved solids, Hardness, Alkalinity, Calcium, Magnesium, Aluminium, Boron, Zinc, Selenium, Manganese, Iron, Chromium, Copper, Lead, Cadmium, Arsenic and Mercury.

Key words: Physiochemical, elemental analysis, drinking water, Ghaziabad, Lohia Nagar, Sec-16, Jatwara, Sahibabad.

INTRODUCTION

The district of Ghaziabad is situated in the middle of Ganga-Yamuna doab. It lies on the Grand Trunk road about a mile east of the Hindon river in Latitude 28° 40' North and Longitude 77° 25' East, 19 Kms. east of Delhi¹. Since a few years, drinking water problem has increased in the area. In this study, drinking water samples have been collected from 4 random locations from the area. Different parameters were examined using Indian Standards² to find out their suitability for drinking purposes. During this examination, mainly the physicochemical parameters and the elemental concentrations were taken into consideration.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Standard methods of collection, preservation and analysis were adopted. Grab sampling method was used for the collection of 4 drinking water samples. The locations were selected randomly in the district of Ghaziabad. The analysis of physiochemical parameters was done by procedure adopted from standard methods:

APHA³ and the elemental analysis were done using ICPMS (Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometer, PERKIN ELMER, Elan DRCe).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Sample 1, Lohia Nagar

All physiochemical parameters were found to be under the maximum permissible range for drinking purposes. This is with the exception of fluoride which was observed to be 3.723 ppm in the water sample analyzed.

Fluoride is released into the ground water through weathering of primary silicates and associated accessory minerals⁴. Mineral fluorides are present in underground water structures in the form of leachates from fluorospar, Apatite, Cryolite and fluorosilicates⁵⁻⁶. When rain water percolates through the ground, fluoride ions are picked up⁹. In arid regions with limited water recharge and with fluoride bearing minerals deposits present, the ground water becomes rich in fluoride⁵. It combines with the hydrochloric acid of stomach and leads to the formation of hydrogen fluoride which is highly

corrosive⁷) Very low doses of fluoride (<0.6 mg/L) in water promote tooth decay. However, when consumed in higher doses (>1.5 mg/L), it leads to dental fluorosis or mottled enamel and excessively high concentration (>3.0 mg/L) of fluoride may lead to skeletal fluorosis⁸.

Sample 2, Sec-16

All physiochemical parameters were found to be under the maximum permissible range for drinking purposes. Except fluoride, the value for which was found to be 1.66 ppm.

Sample 3, Jatwara

All physiochemical parameters were

found to be under the maximum permissible range for drinking purposes except nitrate and fluoride. The values for these were found to be 187.583 and 3.472 ppm respectively for the water sample analyzed. Such high concentration of nitrate in drinking water may be attributed to the leaching of organic material biodegradation products into water sources. Nitrate has long been associated with the occurrence of blue baby disease in infants⁹ or infantile methaemoglobinaemia, which is caused due to bacterial reduction of nitrate into nitrite in stomach¹⁰.

Sample 4, Sahibabad

The values for except Aluminium, Iron and

Table 1: List of methods and instruments used for physiochemical and elemental analysis

S. No.	Parameter	Method/ Instrument used
1	pH	pH meter
2	Total Dissolved Solids	Total Dissolved Solids dried at 100°C
3	Chloride	Argentometric method
4	Hardness	EDTA titrimetric method
5	Fluoride	SPADNS method
6	Sulphate	Turbidity method
7	Nitrate	Ultraviolet Spectrophotometric Screening Method
8	Alkalinity	Titration method
9	Calcium	Detection by AAS
10	Magnesium	Detection by AAS
11	Elemental Analysis (Al, B, Zn, Se, Ca, Mn, Fe, Mg, Cr, Cu, Pb, Cd, As, Hg)	Detection by ICPMS, Prekin Elmer, ElanDRCe

Table 2: Values for Physiochemical Parameters obtained by chemical methods

S. No.	Parameter	Unit of measurement	IS 10500 value	Lohia Nagar	Sec-16	Jatwara	Sahibabad
1	pH	-	7.5-8.5	7.19	8.03	7.24	7.91
2	Sulphate	mg/L	400	20.5	19	76	38
3	TDS	%	0.2	0.06	0.018	0.113	0.0592
4	Nitrate	mg/L	100	99.79	5.658	187.583	5.365
5	Chloride	mg/L	1000	51.984	11.996	363.887	2.931
6	Hardness	mg/L	600	161.28	88.32	291.84	49.92
7	Fluoride	mg/L	1	3.723	1.66	3.472	4.68
8	Alkalinity	mg/L	600	51.22	11.82	35.46	23.64

Table 3: Values of elemental analysis obtained by Atomic Absorption spectrophotometer (AAS) and Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometer (ICPMS)

S. No.	Parameter	Symbol	Unit of measurement	IS 10500 max. value	Lohia Nagar	Sec-16	Jatwara	Sahibabad
1	Aluminium	Al	ppb	200	0.017	196.13	0.01	228.88
2	Boron	B	ppb	5000	0.256	0.052	0.371	0.456
3	Zinc	Zn	ppb	15000	0.318	0.029	0.013	0.238
4	Selenium	Se	ppb	10	1.446	0.111	0.0336	0.118
5	Calcium	Ca	ppm	200	17.258	10.887	26.482	8.886
6	Manganese	Mn	ppb	300	62.54	3.36	4.798	19.019
7	Iron	Fe	ppb	1000	626.94	186.53	387.16	4598.76
8	Magnesium	Mg	ppm	100	34.997	18.816	64.482	9.971
9	Chromium	Cr	ppb	50	1.143	0.299	0.835	0.547
10	Copper	Cu	ppb	1500	1.302	0.0476	1.258	2.037
11	Lead	Pb	ppb	50	0.063	0.004	0.036	0.435
12	Cadmium	Cd	ppb	10	0.081	0.019	0.044	0.128
13	Arsenic	As	ppb	50	3.588	7.451	2.94	3.142
14	Mercury	Hg	ppb	1	ND	0.031	ND	ND

fluoride were found to be high in the sample analyzed. The value for Aluminium was observed to be 228.88 ppb which is higher than the IS prescribed limit of 200 ppb. The values for Iron was recorded to be 4598.76 ppb and for fluoride as 4.68 ppm. All other physiochemical parameters were found to be under the maximum permissible range for drinking purposes.

Long-term exposure to such high levels of Aluminum may lead to the occurrence of Alzheimer's disease¹¹. Aluminium accumulation in the brain is proposed to be associated with neurodegenerative diseases, including Parkinson's disease, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and dialysis encephalopathy¹². Aluminium negatively impacts neurotransmission, either by directly inhibiting the enzymes responsible or by affecting the physical properties of synaptic membranes¹³.

The principal forms of mineralized ferric iron found in soils are amorphous hydrous ferric oxide, maghemite, lepidocrocite, hematite, and goethite¹³. High amount of Iron leads to the growth of iron bacteria in the pipelines thereby deteriorating the microbiological quality of drinking

water¹⁴. Excessive ingested iron can also cause excessive levels of iron in the blood because high iron levels can damage the cells of the gastrointestinal tract preventing them from regulating iron absorption¹⁵.

CONCLUSION

Drinking water from Lohia Nagar & Sector 16 could be used for drinking after removal of excess of fluoride.

Also drinking water from Jatwara could be used for drinking after removal of excess of fluoride and nitrate.

Drinking water from Sahibabad could also be used for drinking after removal of excess of fluoride, Aluminium and Iron.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are thankful to Arbro Analytical Division, New Delhi for providing research facilities.

REFERENCES

1. www.ghaziabad.nic.in, downloaded 11 October.
2. IS 10500:2004, Drinking Water Specifications.
3. APHA. Standard Methods for the examination of water and wastewater, Pg 2:26-2:29, 2:36-2:39, 2:54-2:56, 4:53-4:56, 4:66-4:68, 4:79-4:83, 4:86-4:91, 4:114-4:115, 4:176-4:179. American Public Health Association (1995).
4. Apambire W. B., Boyle D. R. and Michel F. A., Geochemistry, genesis and health implications of floriferous ground waters in the upper regions of Ghana. *Environ. Geol.*, 13-24, 33 (1997).
5. Thakare S. B., Parvate A. V. and Rao M., Analysis of fluoride in the ground water of Akola district, *Indian J. Environ. and Ecoplan*, Vol. 10 No.3, 657-661 (2005).
6. S. Suratman, *Orient J. Chem.*, 27(4): 1497-1501 (2011).
7. Manjunath D. L., *Environmental studies 2nd edition*, Pearson Publications, 150 (2008).
8. Tiwari K., Dikshit R. P., Tripathi I. P. and Chaturvedi S. K., Fluoride content in drinking water and ground water quality in rural area of tahsil Mau, district Chitrakoot, *Indian J. Environmental Protection*, Vol. 23, No. 9, 1045-1050 (2003).
9. Agrawal K. C., Environmental pollution causes, effects and controls, 56-83 (2001).
10. Harrison Roy M., Pollution causes, effects and control 3rd edition, 54-65 (1996).
11. Pandian M. Rajasekara, Banu G. Sharmila, Kumar G. and Smila K. H., Physico-chemical characteristics of drinking water in selected areas of Namakkal town (Tamil Nadu), India, *Indian J. Environmental Protection*, Vol. 10, No. 3, 789-792 (2005).
12. Gonclaves P.P., Silva V. S., Does neurotransmission impairment accompany aluminum neurotoxicity? *Journal of Inorganic Biochemistry*, 1291-1338 (2007).
13. Vance David B., Iron - the environmental impact of a universal element, *National Environmental Journal*, May/June Vol. 4 No. 3, 24-25 (1994).
14. Goel P. K., Water pollution Causes, Effects and Control, 1-27, 97-115 (2001).
15. El-Harbawi M., Sabidi A.A.B.T., Kamarudin E.B.T., Hamid A.B.A.B.D., Harun S. B., Nazlan A. B, Xi-Yi C., Design of a portable dual purpose water filter system. *Journal of Engineering Science and Technology*, 165-175 (2010).