Study of physicochemical quality of pond water in Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

S.K. SHRIVASTAVA^{1*} V.K. GUPTA², KIRAN VAJPAI³, D.K. SHRIVASTAVA and SHARAD K. VAJPAI^{*}

Chemistry Department, C.M.Dubey Postgraduate College, Bilaspur - 495 001 (India)

¹Regional Office, C.G. Environment Conservation Board,

Near Shiv Temple, Avanti Vihar Colony, Rajpur, Chhattisgarh (India)

²Zoology Department, C.M.Dubey Postgraduate College, Bilaspur - 495 001 (India)

³Chemistry Department, Government Girls Postgraduate College, Bilaspur - 495 001 (India)

(Received: May 09, 2008; Accepted: June 27, 2008)

ABSTRACT

Physico-chemical quality of water samples form four major ponds, i.e. pond near Depotpara, Vinobanagar (S1); pond near Old Power House, Torwa (S2); pond near Family Planning center, Sarkanda (S3); and pond near Devnandannagar (S4) situated in Bilaspur city has been evaluated on monthly basis in between January - 2007 and December - 2007. Water samples collected were analysed for their physics chemical characteristics viz. Temperature, pH, Electrical Conductivity, Turbidity, Total Solids, Total Dissolved Solids, Total suspanded solids, Dissolved Oxygen, BOD, COD, Total Hardness, Calcium Hardness, Magnesium Hardness, Chloride, Fluoride, Nitrate, Sulphate and Phosphate. Data obtained from these analyses were statistically analysed to determine the correlation between various water quality parameters.

Key words: Physico-chemical parameters, water quality, Bilaspur.

INTRODUCTION

Bilaspur is the second largest city of state of Chhattisgarh. The population of the city is around 3 lacs and is located at 25°5'N latitude and 82°25' longitude1. The fast pace of industrial and other developmental activities and consequent rapid urban growth have low ever, invariably brought with them unplanned and haphazard human settlements and problem of water supply, sanitation as well as environmental degradation. An understanding of water chemistry forms the basis of most of the modern day developmental activities undertaken to improve the living conditions of human beings. Water quality of surface and ground waters of many places in India have recently been reported²⁻⁸. The present communication reports results of study of physico chemical quality of water from four major water ponds in Bilaspur viz., pond near Depotpara, Vinobanagar (S1); pond near Old Power House, Torwa (S2); pond near Family Planning center, Sarkanda (S3); and pond near Devnandannagar (S4) on monthly basis over a period of one year i.e. 2007. These ponds are situated in populated areas of the city and water from these ponds is used for various human activities except drinking. Therefore it becomes very important to assess periodically the quality of water from these water bodies to ascertain their pollution load.

EXPERIMENTAL

For the present study, four major water pons of Bilaspur were selected. All these four ponds receive rain water and domestic waste water from nearby settlements. These domestic waste and rain water make these ponds highly polluted. There are a few water hand pumps set in close vicinity of these

ponds and water from these hand pumps is being used by people residing in those areas even for drinking purpose and they are pond to water related ailments. Therefore it was interesting to assess the extent of pollution load on these ponds. For the same, water samples were collected in the first week of every month during the study period and the parameters like pH, Electrical Conductivity, Temperature, Dissolved Oxygen were determined on the collection site itself using Elico make PE-136 Water and Soil Analysis kit. Other parameters like BOD, COD, Total Solids, Total Dissolved Solids, Total Suspended Solid, Sulphate, Nitrate, Chloride, Fluoride and Phosphate were also determined using standard methods recommended by APHA9 and NEERI¹⁰. All the chemicals used during present investigations were of analytical grade and double distilled water from all glass was used during present study. The results obtained from these analyses were statistically treated to calculate correlation between various parameters of study.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results of analyses of water from these ponds are recorded in tables 1 to 4 and correlation between various physico chemical parameters thus calculated area given in table 5 to 8. A persual of tables 1-4 indicates that the pH of the water from all the sampling stations ranged between 8.43 (at pond near family Planning Center in the month of July 2007) and 7.02 (at pond near Devnandan Nagar in the month of January-2007). This was well within the maximum permissible limit for pH, as recommended by WHO* (6.9-9.2). Electrical conductivity of water samples, which is a measure of presence of ionic constituents, was found maximum at Devnandan Nagar pond and at Depot Para pond in the month of March-07 (680µmhos/cm). This was much above the maximum permissible limit of 500 µmhos/cm as recommended by WHO.

Turbidity in water samples is due to suspended solids present in them and is a primary indication of the extent of pollution As per WHO recommendation 25 NTU is the maximum permissible limit for turbidity in drinking waters. All the water samples were found to contain this exceeding the maximum permissible limit. Solids,

suspended and dissolved, if present in large amounts may affect water quality as it may induce unfavorable physiological reactions in the transient consumer. WHO has 500 mg/l as maximum tolerance limit for this11. Among the collected water samples, the samples form Depot Para pond were found to contain maximum solid contents i.e.559 mg/l. Dissolved Oxygen in water samples is an important parameters as this is indicative of desirable conditions for favorable growth and reproduction of augatic organisms. This condition requires the maintenance of dissolved oxygen levels that can support the desired augatic life in healthy conditions. During study period maximum DO levels (6.9 mg/l) were found in the water from pond near Devnandan Nagar in the month of April-2007. BOD of water samples is essentially a bioassay method which is indicative of pollution load on the water body. USPHS (United States Public Health Service) and WHO11. Both have proposed a maximum permissible limit of 5mg/l for BOD. During the present study BOD levels in most of the samples were much more beyond the permissible limit and at many places it was as high as>7. In the month of May 2007, in the samples from pond near Depot Para, BOD was recorded as high as 10mg/l.

This clearly showed that these ponds are bearing appreciable high load of bio degradable organic pollutants. COD measurements give us an indication of total organic matter (both biologically oxidisable and inert) in the water. A threshold value of 4mg/I has been proposed by USPHS12. A persual of all the tables revealed that COD levels in all the water samples and during entire study period was much above this limit. Maximum value of 144mg/l was recorded at Depot Para pond in the month of June 2007. On the other hand minimum value of 28mg/l was found at Family Planning center pond in the month of March-2007. Fluoride in water samples is the cause of fluorosis and dental caries. Safe limit for fluoride in drinking water is as per WHO recommendations is in between .1 and 1.5mg/l¹¹. In all the four ponds fluoride levels were below this 1.5 mg/l mark. Nitrate is a key issue in the drinking water as its level in ground water have shown alarming increase during past two/three decades due to extensive use of nitrogenous fertilizers. Due to increase in nitrate levels in water bodies, nutrient enrichment also occurs and this ultimately

Table 1: Physico chemical characteristics of water samples from pond near Depot Para, Bilaspur during Year 2007

တ်	Parameter	Unit						Month	nth					
ò			Jan. 2007	Feb. 2007	Mar. 2007	Apr. 2007	May. 2007	Jun. 2007	Jul. 2007	Aug. 2007	Sep. 2007	Oct. 2007	Nov. 2007	Dec. 2007
-	Temperature	၁	21	22.3	23.2	24.3	28.6	29.3	28	25.1	24.6	22	22.1	22.8
2.	Hd		7.36	7.61	7.54	7.36	7.82	7.89	7.7	7.61	7.92	7.5	7.31	7.84
3.	Electrical conductivity	uhmos/cm.	610	029	089	290	124	520	420	460	80	388	400	490
4	Turbidity	NTO	31.3	30.3	27.1	32.7	31.23	35.8	39.3	34.2	36.4	34.6	33.2	31.3
5.	Total solids	l/gm	384	334	224	228	3777	432	412	559	326	302	233	238
.9	Total Dissolved solids	l/gm	268	224	104	196	314	348	386	398	282	242	186	201
7.	Total suspanded solids	/gm	116	110	120	32	63	84	26	161	44	09	47	37
œ.	Dissolved oxygen	l/gm	5.8	5.3	6.4	5.8	4.9	4.6	5.3	4.8	6.2	6.1	5.6	5.6
6	BOD	l/gm	4.2	4.2	4.8	5.1	10	7	6.1	6.4	3.8	9.7	5.4	4.6
10.	COD	l/gm	64	61.3	54	132	09	144	102	80	92	116	104	40
	Total hardness	l/gm	380	330	312	280	280	260	220	260	210	180	140	240
12.	Calcium hardness	/gm	220	265	275	140	220	190	130	110	180	138	06	100
13.	Magnessium hardness	/gm	160	92	35	140	09	20	06	150	80	42	20	130
14.	Chloride	l/gm	54.98	51.35	52.48	57.48	69.97	64.97	79.39	54.98	47.23	44.62	39.98	29.99
15.	Fluoride	l/gm	0.82	0.81	92.0	1.24	1.4	7.	1.12	1.01	1.12	_	0.8	0.7
16.	Nitrate	l/gm	10.18	13.32	5.31	17.72	4.43	9.97	76.	16.83	18.32	20.23	22.15	18.6
17.	Sulphate	l/gm	09	28	54.3	09	09	48	44	32	48	44	40	4
18.	Phospate	mg/l	0.8	0.86	0.86	8.86	7	0.8	1.12	_	1.1	1.12	1.12	0.8

Table 2: Physico chemical characteristics of water samples from pond near Old power house, Torwa, Bilaspur during Year 2007

တ်	Parameter	Unit						Month	nth					
No.			Jan. 2007	Feb. 2007	Mar. 2007	Apr. 2007	May. 2007	Jun. 2007	Jul. 2007	Aug. 2007	Sep. 2007	Oct. 2007	Nov. 2007	Dec. 2007
-	Temperature	၁့	19	20.1	20.5	24.8	26.4	28.1	25.6	23.2	21.5	21.4	21.8	212
2.	Hd		7.32	7012	7018	7.58	7.83	8.32	8.23	7.46	7.62	7.51	7.11	7.12
რ	Electrical conductivity	phmos/cm.	438	460	480	280	460	460	610	520	430	410	420	460
4.	Turbidity	NTO	22.6	25.8	27.8	32.8	36.32	38.6	36.8	36.8	36.8	34	31.6	30.3
5.	Total solids	mg/l	368	310	244	251	232	384	230	328	231	238	110	286
9	Total Dissolved solids	mg/l	324	369	212	186	189	268	110	282	193	190	09	218
7.	Total suspanded solids	mg/l	4	29	.32	92	43	116	120	46	48	48	20	99
ω.	Dissolved oxygen	mg/l	4.6	5.4	6.1	5.9	5.3	5.2	5.8	4.9	6.1	5.1	5.4	6.1
6	ВОВ	mg/l	4	4.2	3.8	4.3	8.9	7.2	7.2	5.2	7	2.8	2.6	6.2
10.	COD	mg/l	92	87	09	96	92	88	99	64	99	64	22	88
17.	Total hardness	mg/l	360	335	305	320	380	420	230	180	210	184	110	115
12.	Calcium hardness	mg/l	290	257	220	180	320	310	110	110	140	120	09	22
13.	Magnessium hardness	mg/l	710	78	82	140	09	110	120	20	20	64	20	09
14.	Chloride	mg/l	52.48	5012	54.98	59.98	62.48	68.32	64.97	52.79	52.48	48.62	32.48	39.98
15.	Fluoride	mg/l	0.8	0.85	0.64	_	1.2	0.7	1.16	_	1.16	1.	1.16	0.76
16.	Nitrate	mg/l	6.64	10.38	13.29	10.18	18.6	19.74	19.3	13.54	21.26	20.22	21.22	18.6
17.	Sulphate	mg/l	48	69	88	80	40	48	48	09	24	52	48	09
18.	Phospate	l/gm	0.81	0.86	0.84	0.84	1 .	8.0		0.8	1.26	1.2	~	1.8

Table 3: Physicochemical characteristics of water samples from pond near family plannig center, Sarkanda, Bilaspur during Year 2007

رن ن	Parameter	Unit						Month	ıth					
Ö			Jan. 2007	Feb. 2007	Mar. 2007	Apr. 2007	May. 2007	Jun. 2007	Jul. 2007	Aug. 2007	Sep. 2007	Oct.	Nov. 2007	Dec. 2007
<u>-</u> :	Temperature	၁့	20	22.8	24.3	26	27.3	28.4	23.8	24.2	23.8	23.1	21.2	21.3
2	Hd		7.23	7.26	7.32	7.83	8.03	7.92	8.43	7.32	7.79	7.91	7.23	7.13
ю.	Electrical conductivity	phmos/cm.	380	400	410	480	420	530	260	480	410	380	320	480
4	Turbidity	NTO	28.6	30.3	31.2	32.8	36.8	36.8	38.6	34.2	32.8	31.3	32.3	31.8
5.	Total solids	mg/l	328	333	360	213	432	324	382	364	323	320	324	242
9.	Total Dissolved solids	l/gm	298	286	164	186	285	267	293	283	287	279	268	218
7.	Total suspanded solids	mg/l	30	47	196	27	147	22	88	81	46	41	99	24
89	Dissolved oxygen	mg/l	4.4	4.1	5.9	8.9	4.5	4.1	9.9	5.1	9.9	6.1	5.4	6.2
6	BOD	mg/l	3.6	3.8	6.1	6.2	8.9	9.9	7	4.6	9.7	6.1	4.8	5.4
10.	СОБ	mg/l	80	98	28	92	88	24	64	64	64	61	64	48
1.	Total hardness	mg/l	335	290	280	285	280	310	360	310	260	238	175	115
12.	Calcium hardness	mg/l	250	210	195	140	210	120	180	210	120	128	105	22
13.	Magnessium hardness	mg/l	82	80	82	155	20	190	180	100	140	7	20	09
4.	Chloride	mg/l	52.48	46.48	42.48	57.65	26.69	79.39	52.48	52.23	44.98	41.39	37.72	47.78
15.	Fluoride	mg/l	0.92	98.0	0.62	0.81	1.15	1.21	1.12	1.16	1.01	1.14	1.12	1.16
16.	Nitrate	mg/l	14.43	15.38	15.31	15.31	10.18	19.74	16.83	16.83	13.29	14.08	14.43	18.16
17.	Sulphate	mg/l	52	98	100	48	44	26	44	09	80	89	44	48
18.	Phospate	l/gm	0.8	0.72	0.62	0.64	0.8	0.8	_	0.8	_	0.81	~	0.81

Table 4: Physicochemical characteristics of water samples from pond near Devnandan Nagar, Bilaspur during Year 2007

ဖြ	Parameter	Unit						Month	뒱					
Š.			Jan. 2007	Feb. 2007	Mar. 2007	Apr. 2007	May. 2007	Jun. 2007	Jul. 2007	Aug. 2007	Sep. 2007	Oct. 2007	Nov. 2007	Dec. 2007
←.	Temperature	၁့	20.2	22.8	24.3	25.6	28.6	26.2	24.3	24.2	24.3	23.8	21.1	20.3
2.	Hd		7.02	7.2	7.41	8.21	7.84	7.21	7.83	7.92	7.89	7.21	7.29	7.86
.3	Electrical conductivity	µhmos/cm.	280	610	089	640	480	460	280	530	380	344	320	480
4.	Turbidity	NTO	30.2	33.4	36.3	33.4	36.3	36.1	39.8	38.2	32.6	31	31.3	31.3
2.	Total solids	mg/l	216	242	156	316	321	326	428	382	328	348	238	228
9.	Total Dissolved solids	mg/l	188	198	92	286	268	282	388	326	298	210	189	196
7.	Total suspanded solids	mg/l	28	198	64	09	53	44	40	26	30	39	49	32
89	Dissolved oxygen	mg/l	4.3	5.1	6.4	6.9	4.9	5.2	5.8	5.2	9.6	5.1	9.6	6.1
6	BOD	mg/l	3.9	4.8	5.8	6.1	9.9	4.6	7.2	4	6.4	2.7	5.8	2
10.	COD	l/gm	72	102	136	112	64	40	88	88	89	71	80	88
1.	Total hardness	mg/l	230	248	270	395	321	350	330	310	250	260	155	140
12.	Calcium hardness	mg/l	125	137	160	280	268	220	180	180	110	140	92	92
13.	Magnessium hardness	mg/l	105	11	110	115	53	130	150	130	150	120	06	75
14.	Chloride	l/gm	62.48	60.12	52.48	54.68	59.98	64.47	52.48	5.242	49.98	47.64	44.88	49.96
15.	Fluoride	mg/l	1.02	1.1	1.16	1.31	1.16	1.15	1.12	1.12	1.01	1.1	1.21	1.12
16.	Nitrate	mg/l	16.2	16.11	16.64	15.31	1886	21.26	20.37	18.16	19.04	13.29	13.62	16.83
17.	Sulphate	l/gm	09	24	09	40	44	64	80	80	89	77	100	80
18.	Phospate	mg/l	0.83	0.81	0.86	0.61	0.81	8.0	0.86	0.82	_	0.91	0.82	0.81

Table 5: Correlation matrix for various water quality parameters for water from pond near Deprt Para, Vinoba Nagar, Bilaspur during Year 2007

				plod	ופשו	באורבש	pond near Depit Fara, Vinoba Nagar, Briaspur during Tear 2007	Da Nage	al, Dilds	inn ind) - -	al 2007					
Hd dwaT	Тетр	Hd	EC	EC Turbidity	TS	TDS	TSS	DO	BOD	COD	Ŧ	Са-Н	Mg-H	ن	ட்	NO ₃ -	NO ₃ SO ₄ PO ₄ ³ -
Temp	_																
Ħ	0.46	_															
EC	-0.33	-0.27	_														
Turbidity	0.43	0.25	-0.25	—													
TS	0.58	0.31	-0.29	99.0	_												
TDS	0.63	0.28	-0.44	8200	0.92	—											
TSS	0.08	0.15	0.21	-0.05	0.51	0.12	_										
00	-0.46	-0.01	0.28	-0.25	-0.66	-0.71	-0.1	_									
BOD	0.53	0.17	-0.67	0.08	0.42	0.04	0.18	-0.42	_								
COD	0.34	-0.06	0.1	0.55	0.14	0.21	-0.11	0.01	0.13	~							
프	0.37	0.57	0.2	-0.2	0.11	-0.09	0.47	0.13	0.18	-0.06	_						
Са-Н	0.42	0.42	0.05	-0.31	-0.08	-0.26	05	0.38	0.28	0.01	0.68	_					
Mg-H	-0.06	0.31	0.15	0.23	0.25	0.23	0.11	-0.22	0.21	-0.08	0.4	-0.36	_				
Ö	0.87	0.17	-0.22	0.42	0.5	0.54	0.08	-0.27	0.51	0.4	0.33	0.42	-0.16	_			
ட்	0.74	0.24	-0.5	0.41	0.36	0.44	-0.05	-0.11	0.58	0.49	0.32	0.39	-0.72	-0.41	_		
NO.	-0.7	-0.3	0.09	0.2	-0.22	-0.13	-0.27	0.17	-0.46	0.14	-0.57	-0.77	0.32	-0.72	-0.41	_	
SO.	0.22	-0.1	-0.02	-0.53	-0.45	-0.35	-0.37	0.14	0.16	-0.01	0.27	0.49	-0.29	0.28	0.39	-0.49	_
PO ₄ 3-	-0.35	-0.4	-0.31	0.14	0.09	0.24	-0.3	-0.22	-0.05	-0.19	-0.69	-0.56	-0.15	-018	-0.24	-0.36 -0.17	0.17 1

Table 6: Correlation matrix for various water quality parameters for pond near old power houese, Torwa, Bilaspur during Year 2007

Тетр рН	Temp	Ħ	EC	mp pH EC Turbidity	TS	TDS	TSS	DO	BOD	COD	Ŧ	Са-Н	Mg-H	Ċ	ů.	NO.	NO ₃ - SO ₄ -PO ₄ ³⁻
Temp	_																
Hd	0.8	_															
EC	0.36	0.31	~														
Turbidity	0.7	0.77	0.11	_													
TS	0.35	0.34	0.26	0.18	_												
TDS	0.1	0.05	0.09	-0.02	0.92	_											
TSS	99.0	0.75	0.45	0.52	0.36	-0.04	_										
00	-0.33	-0.09	0.26	-0.51	90.0	0.04	0.05	—									
BOD	0.47	0.64	-0.06	0.75	0.19	0.02	0.44	-0.28	_								
COD	0.25	-0.18	0.17	-0.29	0.37	0.35	0.12	0.12	-0.36	_							
프	69.0	0.56	90.0	0.42	0.18	0.1	0.22	-0.31	0.49	Q	_						
Са-Н	0.64	0.49	-0.11	0.38	0.19	0.17	0.08	-0.32	0.45	o O	0.97	—					
Mg-H	0.52	0.49	0.54	0.37	0.07	-0.17	0.25	-0.11	0.37	o O	0.64	0.41	_				
Ċ	0.72	0.81	0.33	0.64	0.28	0.1	0.46	-0.19	0.67	-0.3	98.0	92.0	0.73	_			
ட்	90.0	0.07	90.0	0.38	-0.48	-0.46	-0.13	0.16	-0.01	-0.2	-0.27	-0.25	-0.22	0.16	_		
. °ON	0.19	0.09	-0.59	0.37	-0.3	-0.43	0.25	-0.1	0.44	-0.4	-0.13	-0.05	-0.32	-0.06	0.27	~	
SO ₄ -	-0.36	-0.39	0.19	-0.49	90.0-	0.007	-0.32	0.18	-0.24	-0.1	0.2	0.09	0.46	0.11	-0.56	99.0-	_
PO ₄ 3-	-0.32	-0.22	-0.14	-0.05	-0.1	-0.13	0.05	0.12	0.37	-0.1	-0.47	-0.46	-0.28	-0.3	90.0	0.37	-0.2 1

Table 7: Correlation matrix for various water quality parameters for pond near family planning center, Sarkanda, Bilaspur during Year 2007

					•		ı				1						
Тетр рН	Temp	Hd	EC	np pH EC Turbidity	TS	TDS	TSS	DO	BOD	COD	Ŧ	Са-Н	Mg-H	Ċ	ட்	NO ₃ .	NO ₃ - SO ₄ -PO ₄ 3-
Temp	_																
Н	9.0	_															
EC	0.27	0.3	_														
Turbidity	0.59	0.71	0.56	_													
TS	0.26	0.35	-0.04	0.53	_												
TDS	-0.03	0.36	0.12	0.47	0.53	_											
TSS	0.33	0.1	-0.15	0.19	69.0	-0.24	_										
DO	-0.56	-0.22	-0.12	-0.65	-0.62	-0.36	-0.37	_									
BOD	0.18	0.44	0.37	0.22	0.25	0.36	0	0.12	—								
COD	0.01	0.28	-0.07	0.1	0.04	0.29	-0.2	0.25	0.25	_							
프	69.0	0.74	0.3	0.61	0.47	0.17	0.4	-0.36	0.27	0.13	_						
Са-Н	0.55	0.46	0.01	0.43	0.68	0.07	0.71	-0.39	0.05	0.22	0.83	_					
Mg-H	0.56	0.74	0.51	0.57	-0.01	0.19	-0.16	-0.12	0.41	O	0.75	0.26	_				
Ö	6.0	0.52	0.47	0.72	0.21	0.13	0.12	-0.68	0.19	0	0.51	0.33	0.5	_			
ட்	-0.14	-0.01	0.12	0.31	0.03	0.55	-0.75	-0.35	-0.32	-0.1	-0.36	-0.42	-0.16	0.13	_		
NO3.	-0.05	-0.23	0.36	90.0	-0.44	-0.31	-0.26	90.0-	-0.53	-0.7	-0.22	0.41	0.1	90.0	0.44	_	
SO ₄ -	0.07	-0.12	-0.32	-0.52	0.1	-0.39	0.48	0.21	0.02	-0.5	0.2	0.27	0.02	-0.27	-0.55	-0.04	_
PO ₄ 3-	-0.33	0.24	-0.11	0.32	0.27	0.73	-0.3	0.09	0.11	0.11	-0.01	-0.16	0.15	-0.19	047	-0.1	-0.3 1

Table 7: Correlation matrix for various water quality parameters for pond near family planning center, Sarkanda, Bilaspur during Year 2007

							6	,									
Тетр рН	Temp	Hd	1	EC Turbidity	TS	TDS	TSS	DO	BOD	COD	Ŧ	Са-Н	Mg-H	່ວັ	ŭ	NO ₃ .	NO ₃ SO ₄ PO ₄ ³ -
Temp	-																
Н	0.11	_															
EC	0.28	0.44	_														
Turbidity	0.53	0.16	0.56														
TS	0.26	0.32	-0.11		_												
TDS	0.28	0.5	0.01		0.92	~											
TSS	-0.1	-0.05	0.15	0.02	0.08	-0.06	—										
DO	-0.32	0.41	0.61		-0.31	-0.18	0.04	~									
BOD	0.11	0.23	90.0		0.13	0.1	0.17	0.08	_								
COD	-0.19	0.26	0.7		-0.47	-0.41	0.03	0.73	-0.01	_							
프	0.8	0.21	0.45		0.29	0.32	-0.33	-0.1	0.17	0	_						
Са-Н	0.89	0.26	0.49		0.22	0.27	-0.19	-0.08	0.14	0.01	0.92	_					
Mg-H	0.1	-0.04	0.07		0.27	0.3	-0.42	-0.08	0.13	o o	0.54	0.18	_				
Ċ	0.75	0.02	0.31		0.05	0.16	-0.16	-0.29	90.0	-0.3	0.75	0.79	0.19	_			
ட்	0.07	0.16	0.34		-0.17	-0.16	0.19	0.63	0.37	0.23	0.3	0.42	-0.13	0.25	_		
NO ₃ .	0.45	0.2	0.26		0.39	0.58	0.03	-0.21	-0.07	-0.7	0.32	0.29	0.21	0.57	-0.39	~	
SO.	-0.58	-0.41	-0.48		-0.12	-0.32	-0.26	-0.34	-0.07	-0.1	-0.3	-0.52	0.36	-0.31	-0.17	-0.26	_
PO ₄ 3-	-0.26	-0.22	-0.42		0.18	0.05	0.43	-0.34	0.32	-0.3	-0.44	0.57	0.11	-0.34	-0.52	0.12 (0.21 1

results in enormous growth of auqatic filamentous green algae leading to eutrophication. This huge algal growth creates unaesthetic conditions in the water body¹³. Water from pond water Depot Para in the month of November -2007 recorded maximum nitrate i.e. 22.15mg/l.

During the study period correlation analysis was conducted and perusal of tables 5-8 shows that strong positive correlations existed between turbidity -TDS, TS-TDS, Chloride-Fluoride at pond near Depot Para. On the other hand strong negative correlations were recorded at the same pond between DO-TDS, DO-TS and nitrate-chloride. Strong positive correlations were observed in the water from pond near old power house between

pH-turbidity, ph-TSS, and pH-Chloride, BOD-turbidity, TS-TDS, TH-Ca-H, TH-chloride. At pond near Family Planning Center pH was found to correlation existed between nitrate and COD. Strong positive correlations were existed in the water from pond near Devnandan Nagar between EC and COD, TS and TDS, DO and COD, Ca-H and TH.

CONCLUSION

The present study indicated that the waters from the four major ponds situated in Bilaspur were quite heavily polluted particularly with organic pollutants and the water from these ponds is very unsafe and must be used only after suitable treatment process.

REFERENCES

- Chandrakar, P.L. and Tripahti, K., "Chhattisgarh Atlas"; 2nd Ed. Beni Gupta Publishers, Bilaspur, 58-59 (2000).
- Sahu, B.K., Rao, R.J. and Behera, S.K., "Ecol. Environ. Conser., 1(1-4): 35-38 (1995).
- Wilcock, R.J. and Nagel's J.W., "Water Science Technology" 43: 163-168 (2001).
- Nayak, B.B., Panda, U.C., Panigrahi, P.K. and Achrya, B.C., "Chem. Environ. Res." 10(3-4): 203-218 (2001).
- 5. Hussain, M.F. and Ahmed, I. "*Ind. J. Environ. Health*" **44**(1): 41-49 (2002).
- 6. Baptise, A.R. and Altaf, K., "*Indian J. Aquatic Biol.*," **17**(1): 23-25 (2002).
- 7. Bhandra, B., Mukherjee, S., Chakravotry, R and Nanda, A.K., "*J. Environ. Biol.*" **24**(2): 59-68 (2003).

- 8. Garg, V.K. and Totawat, K.L., "Journ. Environ. Science and Engg.," **46**(1): 61-64 (2004).
- APHA "Standard Methods of Examination of Water and Waste" American Public Health Association; Washington D.C., (1989).
- NEERI "Manual of Water and Waste Analysis" NEERI Publication (1988).
- WHO "Guidelines for Dinking Water Quality"
 Vol. 1, Recommendations in Geneva WHO 130 (1984).
- Ciaccio, Leonad L. "Water and Water Pollution-Handbook", Vol. 1 Marcel Dekker Inc. New York., (1971)
- Handa, B.K., Kumar, A., Goyal, D.K. and Sondhi, T.N., "Pollution of Natural Waters in UP, Technical Report #6, CGWB; Lucknow. 280.